

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,296	04/24/2001		Scott Lee Wellington	5659-03600/EBM	3881	
7590 08/10/2004				EXAM	EXAMINER	
DEL CHRIST	ENSEN		GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN			
SHELL OIL CO	OMPANY	7				
P.O. BOX 2463				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77252-2463				1764		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/841,296	WELLINGTON ET AL.				
Havioory House,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Walter D. Griffin	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec	reconsideration has been cons Continuation Sheet	idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4403-4428 and 5396-5400</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 7/19/2004.						
10. Other:						
	•	Walter D. Duff Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner				
C. Datast and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 1764				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/841,296

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Adding the limitation that the condensable mixture has a hydrogen to carbon atomic ratio of at least 1.7 to independent claims 4403 and 4418 arises new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument that the hydrogen to carbon atomic ratio as claimed does not appear to be taught or suggested by the Lindquist reference is not persuasive. The carbon to hydrogen ratio of 5.93 in the Lindquist reference is not defined as an atomic or molar ratio. It may, in fact, be a weight or mass ratio. If this is the case, then the calculated hydrogen to carbon molar or atomic ratio would be within the claimed range. In any event, it is not clear that the Lindquist reference does not disclose or suggest the claimed ratio. Therefore, applicant has not distinguished the claimed product from that disclosed by Lindquist.